

FLOCK STRUCTURE, PREFERENCE IN SELECTION AND TRAITS OF ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE FOR INDIGENOUS MUSCOVY DUCK GENETIC RESOURCES IN TARABA STATE, NIGERIA



S.I. Daikwo¹*, U.A. Dike¹ and N.I. Dim²

¹Department of Animal Production & Health, Federal University Wukari, PMB 1020, Taraba State, Nigeria ²Department of Animal Breeding & Physiology, University of Agriculture Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria *Corresponding author: <u>daikwo2@yahoo.co.uk</u>

Received: June 19, 2016 Accepted; August 27, 2016

Abstract: The study was conducted to evaluate the flock structure, preference in selection of breeding stock, production traits and culling criteria in indigenous Muscovy ducks of Taraba State, Nigeria. Sixty farm families who keep indigenous Muscovy ducks were randomly selected and administered structured questionnaires in addition to routine visits to obtain data. Data was analysed using descriptive statistics and the non-parametric kruskal-wallis test to test if median ranks attached to each criterion used in selecting breeding stock, production traits and culling criteria varied. A mating ratio of 1 drake: 2.71 duck was observed in the Muscovy duck population. Farmers preferred body size, egg number, hatchability, mothering ability and heat tolerance in selection of breeding stock. Farmers chose high fertility, increased egg production and large body size as traits of greater economic importance. Farmers culled drakes with low fertility, small body size and poor health while fertility, egg number, body size and mothering ability were highly ranked as culling criteria for ducks. The low rate of inbreeding (0.009%) estimated implied that the population was not at risk of extinction. It was concluded that Animal Breeders should take into consideration farmers' traits of preference when developing improvement and conservation schemes for indigenous Muscovy duck genetic resources in the study area.

Keywords: Breeding stock, farmers, inbreeding rate, performance, Muscovy duck

Introduction

Poultry has long been recognized as a major contributor to long lasting solution to insufficient protein intake in Nigeria (Yakubu et al., 2011). Poultry production is a major source of meat and eggs to many households and families in Nigeria. Muscovy ducks are essential parts of many human societies around the world, supplementing chicken in eggs and meat supply, particularly with the ever increasing human population (Ogah & Momoh, 2013). Apart from local chicken, little or no attention has been given to other promising local species such as ducks, geese, turkey and pigeon (Duru et al., 2006). In Nigeria, the duck population was ranked third (9,553,911) after chicken (101,676,710) and guinea fowl (16,976,907), respectively (Hasan & Mohammed, 2003). Muscovy duck (Cairina moschata) is a common household bird among rural dwellers in Nigeria and play significant role as a source of protein and income to peasant farmers (Oluyemi & Ologbobo, 1997). Muscovy ducks make up 74% of the ducks in Nigeria, and its meat is lower in fat and hence considered to be healthier (Adesope & Nodu, 2002). It is known for its hardiness, resistant to environmental stress, very prolific, resistant to common poultry diseases and less exigent to feed quality (Smith, 1990; Yakubu et al., 2011). However, there are no deliberate attempts in improving the performance of Muscovy ducks despite its advantage to the rural poor as a source of food and money (Ogah & Ari, 2012).

Population size has a major impact on the dynamics of a population. The smaller the population, the higher the tendency to be depressed in its reproductive potential due to inbreeding (Thompson *et al.*, 2000). The inbreeding depressions in reproductive and productive traits have been reported by Flock *et al.* (1991) and Smith *et al.* (1998). Indigenous birds are a vital reservoir of gene resources and their conservation has a technical role related to the future development of the production system as well as a socio-cultural role (Camacho-Escobar *et al.*, 2008). The success of a breeding programme is largely related to the level of involvement of the community in the

design, implementation and operation of the programme (Mueller, 2006). Consequently, community based breeding programme designed with the active involvement of the farmers is appropriate for conservation of indigenous animal genetic resources. This is because small holder livestock breeders have used different phenotypic features including adaptive attributes to identify and select their breeds for many years (Rege, 2001).

In Nigeria, limited information exists on the criteria used by rural farmers in the selection of their breeding flocks and traits of economic importance. This study therefore aims at determining the flock structure, and criteria preferred by rural farmers for the selection, production and culling of indigenous Muscovy ducks in Taraba State, North-Eastern Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in Wukari Local Government Area (LGA) of Taraba State, North Eastern Nigeria. A cross sectional survey was carried out in six villages (Pwadzu, Chonku, Kente, Akwana, Rafinkada and Byepi) within the study area. Sixty farm families who keep indigenous Muscovy ducks were randomly selected and administered structured questionnaires in addition to routine visits and participatory farmers' group discussion to obtain data for the study. The Muscovy ducks kept by the farmers were the scavenging type reared under the extensive system of poultry management. Farmers were asked to rank the criteria used in stock selection, production traits and culling practice in order of importance. The traits ranked were body size, egg number, hatchability, mothering ability, heat tolerance, disease resistance, egg size, plumage colour, fertility, growth rate, survivability, age, health, agility and cultural significance. The ranking was done by assigning different weights ranging from 1 being the most important criterion to 4, the least important, following the description of Muchadeyi et al. (2009).

Date were analysed using SPSS (2010). Flock composition was estimated by the mean procedure while the non-

parametric Kruskal-willis test was used to test whether median ranks attached to each criterion used in choosing breeding stock, production traits and culling Muscovy ducks varied. This test generated mean ranks whose significances were tested using chi-square. The rate of inbreeding in the population was also calculated. The effective population size (N_e) for a randomly mated population was calculated as;

$$N_e = \frac{\left(4.N_m.N_f\right)}{N + N_f}$$

Where

N_m= Number of breeding males in the flock

 N_F = Number of breeding females in the flock The rate of inbreeding (ΔF) was estimated according to the formula by Falconer and Mackey (1996):

$$\Delta F = \frac{1}{2N_e}$$

Results and Discussion

Table 1 presents the mean flock structure and mating ratio of indigenous Muscovy ducks in the study area. The mean flock size per farm family was about 21. This result does not agree with the findings of Ogah & Momoh (2013) who reported a flock size of 13 in North Central Nigeria. The drake: duck ratio of 1:2.71 is similar to the findings of Ogah & Momoh (2013). The high mating ratio on the farms studied is a good indication that the breeding system is not controlled by farmers (Zahraddeen et al., 2011). Nickolova (2004) reported sex ratio of 1 drake to 6 ducks for optimum fertility rate in a flock of Muscovy ducks. Consequently, farmers should be advised to keep more female ducks to boost the productivity and profitability of their farms. Farmers' preference in choice of breeding stock is shown in Table 2. Body size, egg number, hatchability, mothering ability and heat tolerance were the traits of utmost importance for selection purposes. Disease resistance, egg size and plumage colour were ranked low. This result agrees with the findings of Daikwo et al. (2015) who observed that selection of birds was mainly dependent on physically observed traits like body size which determines the prices of birds in village poultry markets. It also agrees with the report of Okeno et al. (2011) and Daikwo et al. (2015) who observed that farmers have preference for birds that produced high egg number with good hatchability that can brood the young ones to weaning. The low ranking of plumage colour in this study disagrees with the report of Dana et al. (2010) where this trait was used as a selection criterion. The difference might arise from the socio-cultural significance of plumage colour in chickens, whereas no importance is placed on it in the indigenous Muscovy ducks.

Table 1: Flock structure of indigenous Muscovy ducks

Category	Mean (±S.E)
No. of ducklings	5.33±0.48
No. of growers	3.43±0.20
No. of drakes	3.27±0.16
No. of ducks	8.85±0.71
Drake: duck ratio	1:2.71
C.E. standard summer	

S.E = standard error

Table 2: Mean ranks of factors preferred in choice of breeding stock of Muscovy ducks and their significant level according to Kruskal-Wallis test**

Factor	Mean	Standard error	Standard deviation
Body size	1.24	0.07	0.48
Egg number	1.34	0.08	0.59
Hatchability	1.46	0.09	0.65
Mothering ability	1.76	0.11	0.74
Heat tolerance	2.16	0.14	0.96
Disease resistance	2.44	0.13	0.95
Egg size	3.06	0.11	0.74
Plumage colour	3.72	0.08	0.54

**significant at P<0.01 (Chi-square=219)

Table 3 presents the farmers preferences for production traits of the indigenous Muscovy ducks. It shows that given a choice, Farmers would prefer (P<0.01) ducks that produced more offspring (high reproductive performance), more eggs (for procreation and sale) and large body size (for meat production). Growth rate, survivability, egg size, disease resistance and cultural significance were ranked fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth, respectively. The result agrees with the findings of Yakubu et al. (2013) for domestic turkey and Daikwo et al. (2015) for native chicken, respectively. The culling criteria for breeding drakes and ducks are presented in Table 4. Fertility, body size and health were the most ranked culling criteria for drakes while fertility, egg number, body size and mothering ability were most ranked culling criteria in ducks. Muscovy ducks not kept for breeding purposes are culled for consumption, sales and gift. The high ranking of mothering ability shows that farmers are also concerned with the number of ducklings reaching adulthood (Muchadeyi et al., 2009). The present trend agrees with the report of Yakubu et al. (2013) who observed that farmers cull birds for productive traits rather than qualitative traits like plumage colour; consequently, Muscovy ducks are kept mainly for economic and food security reasons.

Table 3: Mean ranks of preference for production traits of Muscovy ducks and their significant level according to Kruskal-Willis test**

Factor	Mean	Standard	Standard	
Factor	wiean	error	deviation	
Fertility	1.18	0.06	0.39	
Egg number	1.28	0.06	0.45	
Body size	1.84	0.13	0.89	
Growth rate	2.22	0.15	1.04	
survivability	2.72	0.13	0.90	
Egg size	2.88	0.12	0.87	
Disease resistance	3.12	0.10	0.72	
Cultural significance	3.92	0.04	0.27	

**significant at P<0.01 (Chi-square=239)

Factor	Mean	Standard error	Standard deviation	
Male ducks		ciror	ucviation	
Fertility	1.40	0.07	0.50	
Body size	1.68	0.09	0.65	
Health	1.92	0.12	0.88	
Age	2.32	0.15	1.04	
Agility	2.72	0.13	0.90	
Plumage colour	3.66	0.09	0.66	
Female ducks				
Fertility	1.20	0.06	0.40	
Egg number	1.44	0.08	0.58	
Body size	1.81	0.12	0.88	
Mothering ability	1.86	0.13	0.94	
Age	2.42	0.14	0.97	
Heath	2.92	0.12	0.88	
Plumage colour	3.36	0.09	0.63	

Table 4: Mean ranks classified by culling criteria in male and female Muscovy ducks and their significant levels according to Kruskal-Willis test**

**significant at P<0.01 (Chi-square=134 and 165 for male and female ducks, respectively)

Table 5: Inbreeding rate for indigenous Muscovy ducks					
Breed	N_m	$N_{\rm F}$	$N_{m}/N_{F}(\%)$	Ne	$\Delta F(\%)$
Muscovy duck	196	531	36.91	573	0.09
$N_m\!\!=$ Number of breeding males; $N_F\!\!=$ Number of breeding females; $N_e\!\!=$					
Effective population size; $\Delta F = Rate$ of inbreeding					

The estimate of inbreeding rate (ΔF) for Muscovy ducks is presented in Table 5. The effective population size (N_e) and the rate of inbreeding (ΔF) were 573 and 0.09%, respectively. Effective population size is a measure of genetic variability within a population with large values of Ne indicating more variability and small values indicating less genetic variability (Maiwashe et al., 2006; Cervantes et al., 2008). Inbreeding is the probability that two alleles at any locus in an individual are identical by descent relative to a base population (Falconer & Mackey, 1996). The low value of inbreeding rate in this study is an indication that the Muscovy duck population is not at risk of extinction. The Muscovy duck farmers in the study area did not control breeding due to the scavenging nature of their birds. They however select the birds based on their local Knowledge, experience and performance history of the ducks. It is important therefore, to take into account farmers' choice, since breeding strategies developed without considering farmers preferences may be rejected because they are the end users.

Conclusion

Farmers selected their breeding stock based on body size, egg number, hatchability and mothering ability. Farmers preferred high reproductive performance, increased egg production and large body size for production traits. Farmers culled drakes with low fertility, small body size and poor health while fertility, egg number, body size and mothering ability were highly ranked as culling criteria for ducks. The low rate of inbreeding in the indigenous Muscovy duck flock is an indication that the population is not at risk of extinction. Farmers' preferences should be considered when developing breeding strategies in the study area.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest in publishing this manuscript. All the authors are in agreement with the content of this work and are aware that the manuscript has been sent for publication consideration. There is no financial obligation to any individual(s) or organization(s) that could influence or stop this work.

References

- Adesope OM & Nodu MB 2002. A note on the acceptance of duck as table meat among inhabitants of selected communities in the Niger Delta zone, Nigeria. *Livestock Res. Rural Devt.*, 14(6): 24–30.
- Camacho- Escobar MA, Ramirez- Cancino L, Lira-Torres I & Hernandez- Sanchez V 2008. Phenotypic characterization of the guajolote (*Meleagris gallapava* gallapavo) in Mexico. Animal Genetic Resou. Infor., 43: 59-66.
- Cervantes I, Goyache F, Molina A, Valera M & Gutierrez JP 2008. Application of individual increase in inbreeding to estimate realised effective sizes from real pedigrees. J. Animal Breeding & Genetics, 125: 301-310.
- Daikwo SI, Yakubu A & Ogah DM 2015. Flock structure, preference in selection and traits of economic importance for native chicken genetic resources in Kogi State, Nigeria. Proceedings of 5th Nigeria International Poultry Summit, 10-14 May, 2015. University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.
- Dana N, Van der Waaij LH, Dessie T & Van Arendonk JAM 2010. Production objectives and trait preferences of Village poultry producers of Ethiopia: implications for designing breeding schemes utilizing indigenous chicken genetic resources. *Trop. Animal Health & Produ.*, 42: 1519-1529.
- Duru S, Akpa GN, Saidu L, Olugbemi TS & Jokatan GE 2006. A preliminary study on duck management under peri-urban system. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd/lrrd1813/duru18036.htm.
- Falconer DS & Mackay TFC 1996. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. 4th edition. Longman group, Essex, UK.
- Flock DK, Ameli H & Glodek P 1991. Inbreeding and heterosis effect on quantitative traits in white leghorn population under long term reciprocal recurrent selection. *Brit. Poultry Sci. J.*, 32: 451-462.
- Hassan WA & Mohammed WS 2003. Ecotype of the Muscovy Duck in the North West of Nigeria: Variation in body weight and bill length. In: Proceedings of 8th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria.
- Maiwashe A, Nephawe KA, Van der Westhuizen RR, Mostert BE & Theron HE 2006. Rate of inbreeding and effective population size in four major South African dairy cattle breeds. *South African J. Animal Sci.*, 36: 50-57.
- Mueller JP 2006. Breeding and Conservation programmes with local communities. Presentation at FAO-WAAP Expert Meeting "Sustainable Utilization of Animal Genetic Resources". Ferentillo, Italy, 2-4 July 2006. Communication Technia INTA Bariloche Nro PA 489.
- Muchadeyi FC, Wollny CBA, Eding H, Weigend S & Simianer H 2009. Choice of breeding stock, preference of production traits and culling criteria of village chickens among Zimbabwe agro-ecological zones. *Trop. Animal Health & Prod.*, 41: 403-412.

- Nickolova M 2004. Effect of sex ratio on the fertility of Muscovy duck (*Cariana moschata*). Central Euro. J. Agric., 5(4): 367-372.
- Ogah DM & Ari MM 2012. Evaluating inbreeding rate in population of local Muscovy duck. *Egyptian Poultry Sci. J.*, 32 (1): 217-220.
- Ogah DM & Momoh OM 2013. Village based indigenous Muscovy duck production in Humid Savannah zone of Nigeria. *Egyptian Poultry Sci. J.*, 33(2): 421-428.
- Okeno TO, Kahi AK & Peters KJ 2011. Breed selection practices and traits of economic importance for indigenous chicken in Kenya. *Livestock Res. Rural Devt.*, 23(10).
- Oluyemi JA & Ologbobo AD 1997. The Significance and Management of the Local ducks in Nigeria. Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, pp. 16-17.
- Rege JEO 2001. Defining Livestock breeds in the context of community-based management of farm animal genetic resources. In: Proceedings of the Workshop held in Mabane, Swaziland, 7-11 May, 2001.
- Smith AJ 1990. Poultry production in the Tropics. *Tropical Agriculture Series*. Macmillian, ICTA. PP405.

- Smith IA, Cassel B & Pearson RE 1998. The effect of inbreeding on the lifetime performance of dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 81: 2729- 2737.
- SPSS 2010. Statistical Package for Social Sciences. SPSS inc., 444 Michigan, Chicago, IL60611.
- Thompson JR., Everett RW & Wolfe E 2000. Effects of inbreeding on production and survival in Jersey. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 2131-2138.
- Yakubu A, Kankuka FG & Ugbo SB 2011. Morphometric traits of Muscovy ducks from agro ecological zones of Nigeria. *Tropicultura*, 29: 121-124.
- Yakubu A, Abimuku HK, Musa-Azara IS, Idahor KO & Akinsola OM 2013. Assessment of flock structure, preference in selection and traits of economic importance for domestic turkey genetic resources in Nassarawa State, Nigeria. *Livestock Res. Rural Devt.*, 25(1): 67–71.
- Zahraddeen D, Ahemen T & Aliyu PI 2011. On-farm studies on breeding characteristics of Turkeys (*Meleagris gallopavo*) in parts of Jos plateau, Nigeria. *Advan. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 2: 179-184.